## Solution to Exercises 7.4.6 and 7.4.7.

7.4.6.(a) The problem is invariant under scale changes,  $g_b(x_1, \ldots, x_j) = (bx_1, \ldots, bx_j)$  for b > 0, with  $\bar{g}_b(\theta) = b\theta$  and  $\tilde{g}_b(a) = ba$ . Since  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$  is transitive, all invariant rules will have constant risk. Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is transitive too, every invariant rule must take at least one observation. We see from the density,  $f(x|\theta) = (\theta^{-\alpha}/\Gamma(\alpha))e^{-x/\theta}x^{\alpha-1}$  with  $\alpha$  known, that  $T_j = \sum_{1}^{j} X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  for a sample of size j. The terminal decision rule can be restricted to functions of the sufficient statistic.

(b) To show that the maximal invariant,  $\mathbf{Y}_j = (Y_2, \ldots, Y_j) = (X_2/X_1, \ldots, X_j/X_1)$ , and  $T_j$  are independent, it is easier to use Basu's Theorem (the next exercise) but here is a direct demonstration. The change of variable from  $X_1, \ldots, X_j$  to  $X_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_j$  has Jacobean  $x_1^{j-1}$ , so the joint density of  $X_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_j$  is

$$f(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)^{j \theta^{j \alpha}}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{\theta} x_1(1 + t_2 \dots + t_j)\} x_1^{j(\alpha-1)+(j-1)} \prod_{j=2}^{j} y_i^{\alpha-1}.$$

Now make the change of variable  $T_j = X_1(1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_j)$  for  $X_1$  (Jacobean  $1/(1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_j)$ ) and find the joint density of  $T_j, Y_2, \ldots, Y_j$  to be

$$f(t, y_2, \dots, y_j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)^j \theta^{j\alpha}} \exp\{-\frac{t}{\theta}\} t^{j\alpha-1} (1+y_2+\dots+y_j)^{-j\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^j y_i^{\alpha-1}.$$

Since this factors into a function of t and  $(y_2, \ldots, y_j)$ , it follows that  $T_j$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_j$  are independent. Hence under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, the best invariant rule is a fixed sample size rule.

(c) The best invariant terminal rules are  $d_j(T_j) = T_j/b$  where b is chosen to minimize  $E_1(1 - (T_j/b)^2)^2$ , where  $E_1$  refers to expectation when  $\theta = 1$ . This leads to  $b^2 = E_1 T_j^4 / E_1 T_j^2$ . Since  $T_j \in \mathcal{G}(j\alpha, 1)$  when  $\theta = 1$ , we have  $b^2 = (j\alpha)(j\alpha + 1)(j\alpha + 2)(j\alpha + 3)/[j\alpha(j\alpha + 1)] = (j\alpha + 2)(j\alpha + 3)$ . The minimum terminal loss is

$$\rho_j = \mathcal{E}_1 (1 - (T_j/b)^2)^2 = 1 - \frac{(j\alpha(j\alpha + 1))}{(j\alpha + 2)(j\alpha + 3)} = \frac{4j\alpha + 6}{(j\alpha + 2)(j\alpha + 3)}$$

In summary, the best invariant rule takes a fixed sample of size J, where J is that j that minimizes  $\rho_j + jc$ , and then estimates  $\theta$  to be  $T_J/\sqrt{(j\alpha+2)(j\alpha+3)}$ . Through some oversight, the value of  $\alpha$  was not given so numerical values of the rule cannot be found. If  $\alpha = 1$  and c = 1/60, then numerical computation gives J = 12.

7.4.7. Let  $g(\mathbf{Y})$  be an arbitrary function of  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Since the distribution of  $\mathbf{Y}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ ,  $E(g(\mathbf{Y}))$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . By sufficiency  $E(g(\mathbf{Y})|T)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , so

$$E_{\theta}[E(g(\mathbf{Y}|T)) - E(g(\mathbf{Y}))] = E_{\theta}[E(g(\mathbf{Y}|T)] - E(g(\mathbf{Y}))]$$
$$= E(g(\mathbf{Y})) - E(g(\mathbf{Y})) = 0$$

for all  $\theta$ . Since the sufficient statistic is complete, we have  $E(g(\mathbf{Y})|T) = E(g(\mathbf{Y}))$  with probability one. This shows that T and **Y** are independent.